From the President’s Desk....

It’s the last quarter of 2020! Wishing time away is not a wise strategy at my age, but this has been a year that most of us will be glad to see come to an end. For far too many people, 2020 has been fraught with fear, isolation, sadness, and loss. Circumstances that were unforeseen and out of our control prompted folks to reassess values and priorities. Social isolation created time for reflection on what is most important. Human tragedy can and sometimes does result in expressions of our “better angels.”

There is clear evidence that this has been the case this year. Those of us with a strong conservation ethic find encouragement knowing that one response to housebound quarantine has been a blossoming interest in the natural world. Suddenly gardening and backyard bird feeding supplies are out of stock at the local lawn and garden center. Cornell Lab programs such as Project Feederwatch, eBird, and Celebrate Urban Birds have received record participation. Local, state, and national parks are filled with people seeking fresh air, green surroundings, places to decompress, and a chance to reconnect with wildness.

The year 2020 will also be remembered as one in which violence in minority communities resulted in an awakening in the consciousness of white America that the promise of liberty and justice for all is not yet a reality.

The peaceful protests across our nation have had a promising component: the presence of all races and ethnicities marching as one and together demanding change. “White privilege” has made us blind to injustice and oblivious to the needs of communities other than our own. That many people now acknowledge this and are determined to address the issues and effect a transformation are positive outcomes from the awful, horrific events that precipitated this awakening.

Interestingly, these two threads of change in America weave together when it comes to our passion – birding. Everyone, regardless of race or ethnicity, has a right to enjoy and conserve the wonder and beauty of avian life. Your PSO Board of Directors recognizes that we have work to do in that we have limited participation by people of color in our organization, and our membership is overwhelmingly white. The following is a statement of purpose that we have adopted.

"The Pennsylvania Society for Ornithology (PSO) believes that birding connects people with nature, improves their quality of life, and creates advocates for the protection of the wild birds we love. Recent tragic events have made it obvious that racism and discrimination in America remain systemic. We recognize that our membership does not currently reflect the
patchwork of ethnic diversity that is America. We resolve to change that by reaching out to communities of Black, Indigenous, & people of color with birdwatching opportunities in their locales, by making the PSO a welcoming, safe, and inclusive place and by encouraging their participation in the membership and leadership of the organization. We encourage our members and the regional bird clubs around the Commonwealth to join us in taking action so all Pennsylvanians have the opportunity to discover the joys of birding.”

What an exciting prospect this presents to us! I had time to “Zoom” a number of online webinars and meetings over the last several months, especially during #BlackBirdersWeek. There are many bright, young, dedicated birders of color who are asking the right questions, thinking creatively about sharing their avocation, and willing to partner with like-minded groups to make birding a joy for all ethnicities. I came away from the experiences thrilled and excited about the future of birding in America. Here in Pennsylvania we have regional clubs and groups that are already succeeding in this goal, and I encourage you to seek opportunities to participate in your area. PSO members have already reached out to me with ideas and suggestions relating to our annual meeting and other activities.

Your input is most welcome in helping us in our efforts to enhance the diversity of the PSO. Please share your thoughts with me via email, and I will be certain to include them in future board discussions. Even as you think with us and share your ideas, you can begin to bring about the changes that are needed in your local community. Connect with minority friends where you and they live, work, and recreate. Invite them to go birding with you! Recognition that there needs to be a correction is only a first step. The Pennsylvania Society for Ornithology is committed to action that results in every Pennsylvanian having the opportunity to share in the joys of birding.

Evan Mann, President
Susquehanna County

Birding Backpacks

by Nancy Van Cott

Looking at birds through binoculars is second nature to most birders. However, not everyone – especially a beginning birder – has access to a pair of optics. Now, some Pennsylvania libraries are making binoculars available for their patrons to borrow by adding Birding Backpacks to their collections.

A group of birders in Susquehanna County recently raised funds to supply their four county libraries with backpacks filled with birding essentials. Each pack contains two pairs of binoculars (to encourage an adult and a child to go birding together), a field guide, a list of local birding spots, a county bird checklist, and information about how to use and care for binoculars, along with a small notebook for the borrower to keep where they can list the birds they see or make field notes. Even though the pandemic cancelled plans for a public kick-off program at the main county library, the backpacks have already been popular there and at the three branch libraries.

For many birders, their love of birds was sparked by studying the intricate beauty and fascinating behavior of birds through binoculars. The aim of the Susquehanna County birders in starting this program was to inspire this same love in the next generation of birders, and they hope that the idea of Birding Backpacks for libraries will spread across Pennsylvania, and in turn, light that spark in young birders across the state!

If you are interested in learning more about the Birding Backpack program in Susquehanna County, contact Nancy VanCott at nancy.vancott@gmail.com.
We Bird, eBird

eBird has taken off as more and more birders use it to keep track of their personal records. It has become an increasingly valuable tool in the collection of worldwide avian data. Birders, while using eBird, should be aware of what they can do to make the data more valuable to themselves and to others. We will look at some of the things that make eBird better for all in this and following newsletters. This month we will focus on Comments and Filters.

Comments

Comments should always be used when including a bird that is flagged as rare on an eBird checklist. The primary information for which the eBird reviewer is looking (especially if there is no photo or other media) is a description of the bird so that a determination can be made concerning the accuracy of the report. What often is provided instead is a person’s encounter with the bird, i.e., "It was seen circling for five minutes before flying off," or “It was seen by everyone in the group,” etc. These types of comments do not give the eBird reviewer any help in determining the veracity of the sighting. Instead, describe what you see and/or hear of the bird itself. “Small flycatcher, no wing bars, dark back, white belly, flicking its tail.” Don’t worry if you don’t know all the “proper” terminology or if you don’t think you’re very good at writing it up. With practice you will get better at describing birds, and you just might find it helps you to learn the field marks which will help you to identify birds more easily.

When making comments about birds that are flagged because the numbers are above the filter limits for the location and season, let the reviewer know how you counted the birds; i.e., “Exact Count,” or “Estimated by groups of 100.” Also, a comment like, “Prime breeding habitat for the species over three miles,” or “Many fledglings included in the count,” gives the reviewer and others who may access the list in the future an explanation why a high number of a particular species was observed at a specific location. As an aside, you should try to avoid using the dreaded “X” on an eBird checklist as that could mean anything from one to one billion birds. Your best estimate is always better than using the “X.”

Also avoid the comment, “Have photos.” Instead, upload one or more of your photos to the checklist, so the reviewer does not have to email you asking for the photo. Uploading the photo also gives a permanent record of the sighting with the checklist. Don’t hesitate to upload a photo because of a lack of quality. A picture that will document the presence of the species is what is needed – it does not have to be one that will win a photo contest.

One last thing, the comments may be used to record behaviors or other things of interest for your own records. As an example, recently I observed a Cooper’s Hawk chasing two Belted Kingfishers and made note of it in the comments section as it was a new experience in birding for me, having not realized before that kingfishers might be potential prey for “Coops.” I now have added a piece of information that I or other birders can access in the future.

Filters

eBird filters are set, not to make life harder for birders, but to help ensure the quality of the data received. eBirders need to keep in mind that filters are set for birders of all levels of experience. So, while you may be able to identify the differences between House and Purple Finches with both eyes closed and one arm tied behind your back, others struggle to do so. Fall examples could include species like Philadelphia Vireo and Yellow-bellied Flycatcher to name a couple. If you are an experienced birder, try to look at these filter settings as an educational tool for less experienced birders rather than an opportunity to get frustrated. Try to see the “Rare” bird flag in eBird not only about how common a bird is, but what challenges it may present for correct identification by the wide range of birders who use eBird.

Filters are set at a county level and not to specific locations in the county which may cause locally common species to be flagged for exceeding count limits. For example, while Canada Warbler may be a common enough breeder in a particular location, they may be tough to find in the rest of the county. Or perhaps having 500 Broad-wings at a fall hawkwatch is not unexpected, but for birders away from the hawkwatch that would be a highly unusual number.

In some cases, early/late dates have been set automatically by the database itself, based on volumes of data-driven evidence of occurrence and confirmed sightings of outliers within date ranges. This "machine-intelligence" relies on the data in eBird itself to set the dates. Some years, species trend a few days earlier or later than normal, based on weather and other variables. When this happens, the filters don't know this in real-time, so there's a lot of flagging going on. Please be patient as this will usually clear up in just a few days.
There is also the case of irruptive species which in many years may be rare. Take for example Red-breasted Nuthatches which in numerous winters are barely reported in many PA counties, while in other winters they may be abundant. In cases like these, filters may or may not be temporarily changed based on the ease or difficulty the wide range of birders using eBird have in correctly identifying the species. Speaking of temporary changes, during events like the “Great Backyard Bird Count,” filters are temporarily changed on a number of species because of the increase of less experienced birders who are making reports on eBird during the count.

Finally, please appreciate the fact that eBird reviewers are volunteers who take on the task because they share the same passion for birds that you have. These volunteers spend many hours trying to ensure the data in eBird is as accurate as possible so that it will be of the most value to researchers and everyday eBirders alike. In short, they and all other eBird users are on the same team. If you have a question or concern about the filter, please don’t hesitate to contact a local reviewer. You just might find that she or he is pretty reasonable and actually appreciates constructive feedback.

More Information

Follow this link for more information on eBird best practices
https://support.ebird.org/en/support/solutions/articles/48000795623-ebird-rules-and-best-practices. As an eBird user or reviewer, if you have a topic you would like to have covered in a future article, please contact me at verngauthier14@gmail.com.

Good birding,
Vern Gauthier

Recap of July PSO Board Meeting

The Pennsylvania Society for Ornithology Board of Directors met on July 28, 2020, with 16 directors and two Pennsylvania Game Commission representatives present for the teleconference meeting.

The lack of access to Gull Point at Presque Isle State Park was discussed with the PGC representatives. Gull Point seems to be off limits at this time due to problems with the general public including fishermen, boaters, and sun-bathers but not birders. The conclusion was that a new path to the observation tower needs to be roped off so that people know what area is off limits. It was suggested that PSO could donate money for the markers if necessary to move this issue forward.

The possibility of PSO’s holding a Zoom panel discussion regarding birding ethics for the birding community was discussed.

The treasurer’s report was given. A slight downturn in membership, possibly due to the Corona virus, was noted. Otherwise PSO is still in good shape financially.

A new PORC secretary has been elected. All PORC records are up to date, and PORC is running smoothly. A volunteer has been found to digitize the 13 boxes of old PORC paper records.

Wildlife corridors in Pennsylvania were discussed, including the recently introduced legislation. The Endangered Species Coalition is promoting this. A motion was made that PSO join the coalition. The motion was seconded and passed.

The BLM is trying to conduct grassland projects without public comment. A letter to which PSO is signatory has been sent to BLM noting this.

The Great American Outdoors Act was passed by the House of Representatives which will permanently fund the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Many projects and agencies will benefit from this act.

We decided that PSO should adopt a statement of inclusivity.

A complete transcript of the meeting minutes may be found on the PSO website.

Roger V. Higbee, Secretary
Indiana County
rvhigbee@windstream.net
How to Report Sensitive Bird Observations

By Dan Brauning and Cathy Haffner – Pennsylvania Game Commission

Think back to the moment when you found a bird you didn’t expect. You probably had a burst of excitement, maybe some doubt, your heart rate increased, and the thrill of discovery surged through you. Then, another response was probably, “I have to tell Sally about this.” As birders, we look forward to those experiences. It’s the thrill of the chase, the reward for studying our field guides and natural history, to anticipate the unexpected and to identify something you had not expected. That discovery doesn’t have to be rare; it could simply be a good look at a beautiful bird.

Birding includes a mix of personal, social, and conservation enthusiasm. Even when birding on our own, we are aware of a community that shares this interest and potential implications of our sightings. That may not be in our conscious thought with every catbird or fall warbler that we encounter, but observing birds incorporates a range of values connected to our enjoyment and a responsibility to ensure that enjoyment is available for future generations. For example, observing an uncommon bird, particularly during the breeding season, involves information that could be useful for conservation. This is the essence of eBird, whose goal is to “gather this information in the form of checklists of birds, archive it, and freely share it to power new data-driven approaches to science, conservation, and education.”

These diverse benefits to birding have been enhanced immeasurably by modern social and data tools, back to birding hotlines and listservs. Now eBird captures and announces to the world every sighting in which you choose to hit “submit.” Without going into a broad discussion of the benefits and detriments of social media, we can recognize the value of sharing information among the members of the community who enjoy birds. And this has been multiplied manyfold by the growth in the number of birders in the past few years. Even in rural areas, it is not unprecedented to encounter someone independently birding a local hot spot. Generally, it is socially appropriate etiquette to share your experience with that person. And now, when we post a picture or birding experience to our Facebook birding group, we are announcing this to a statewide network of as many as 10,000 other birders, or possibly over 1,000 within a county network. And, with many newer members, there’s a desire to learn more and experience the range of birds that veteran birders normally enjoy. There are a lot of binoculars out there, the majority of which we don’t know at all.

So, are there times when it is appropriate NOT to openly share, in person or publicly, your observation? This question extends beyond an eBird checklist or a Facebook posting. The basic question includes that phone call to any other birder whom you know. Are there times when we should not share a sighting with others at all, or at least wait for an extended period of time?

The biggest advocates for birding say YES. National Audubon and the American Birding Association have guidelines for ethical birding and photography. They each recognize that there are circumstances in which the details or a species and its location should not be publicly shared. The basic premise is that additional attention by people may at times be detrimental to the very bird you encountered – that, regardless of the motivation, too much attention can be a bad thing for some birds. Birds can, and have been, “loved to death.”

Our intentions are not to review or establish the particulars of when and where bird observations are to be shared. Links below to those organizational documents provide well-established guidelines although maybe PSO should establish its own policy. The following thoughts are gleaned from other ethics statements. But we think it is useful to articulate some of the principles for sharing observations and repeat some of the suggestions provided by these larger national organizations. And these concerns extend beyond impacts on the birds themselves but include access onto private property as well.

The point of this article is to stress: Don’t share bird observations when public information of the sighting may place that individual bird in jeopardy by drawing specific attention to its presence. This is a critical decision point for rare breeding birds, whose success or failure quite literally can be determined by the observer’s actions. ABA says it best:

“Before advertising the presence of a rare bird, evaluate the potential for disturbance to the bird, its surroundings, and other people in the area, and proceed only if access can be controlled, disturbance minimized, and permission has been obtained from private landowners. The sites of rare nesting birds should be divulged only to the proper conservation authorities.”
Rare nesting birds tend to be the most vulnerable. Nesting birds are attached to a specific location for an extended period of time, and various stages of nesting make those birds particularly vulnerable. Eggs and young will chill and die if incubating adults are spooked from their nest too often or for an extended period. Juvenile songbirds may prematurely fledge if frightened from their nest, placing them at higher risk of predation. Predators have learned to respond to human activity to identify vulnerable birds, such as when adult birds sound alarm calls in response to our presence. Without even knowing it, our presence at a nest is attracting predators (mammals by your scent and birds by the warning sounds), potentially resulting in a human-assisted predation after we are gone. We won’t see the results of this, after obtaining that close photograph, or after the fifth friend of a friend also had a close encounter to add that bird to his or her checklist. We are blissfully ignorant of the impact a public checklist has had.

Taking this even further, federally endangered species protection defines “take” to include not only shooting but also disturbance. Flushing a listed species from its perch is a violation of the Endangered Species Act. This is why the Game Commission promulgated Eagle Etiquette when eagles were federally listed. So, even though eagles are no longer endangered or threatened, flushing an eagle for a picture is still unwarranted.

So, in the interests of wildlife welfare and to be aware of the conservation values and risks of our observations, we need to be very aware of the potential of unintended consequences when we see and report an uncommon bird. The added attention given to a sensitive bird, even though the location is well known, may tip the scales of disturbance and result in unintended harm. The following birds are particularly sensitive species, and most public observations should be held with discretion:

- Long-eared Owl
- Nesting secretive marsh birds
- Northern Goshawk (except migration reports)
- Sedge Wren
- Nests of any endangered/threatened species

There are many other issues associated with birding ethics that deserve attention, but here are a few ways to help protect sensitive birds when reporting to eBird (or other outlets):

- Wait until the season is over and the sensitive birds have left before reporting the birds to eBird. You can go back and “edit” your checklists later to include sensitive records after the birds have departed.
- Do not provide explicit coordinates or directions to sensitive records. For instance, you may say that birds were seen at a state park, instead of listing the exact location therein.

---

**PSO Pileated Quiz**

*Answers on page 20*

How well do you know your Pennsylvania warblers?

1. Which species had the fewest confirmed breeding records in our second Breeding Bird Atlas?

2. Which species is often associated with Christmas tree plantations?

3. Which species have Latin scientific names relating to their blue colors? For a bonus, what are these scientific names?

4. Which species would be most likely to use a nest box?

5. Which species did Audubon call the “Carbonated Swamp-Warbler”?
Birding Groups on Facebook
by Chad Kauffman

For many years, several groups have been very active on Facebook for birding in PA. We first started a group called PA Birders that took a few years to get off the ground, but when it did, it grew quickly. It soon became obvious that it was being taken over by bird photography posts, so we decided it was worthwhile to create a group for that. It has done a wonderful job.

Then we noticed we were getting quite a few “What is this bird?” types of posts, so it made sense to create a group just for that as well. It does very well on its own, too. We also thought it would be worth creating a page for just announcing birding events, meetings, etc., and while that is still not as active as we had hoped, it is doing OK. The groups did so well that I noticed that many other states have followed suit and have asked for advice, since they were having some of the same adventures we were. We have had some great moderators for the groups, too. Some have come and gone for various reasons, but some have stayed. It is a thankless job; it isn’t fun being the Facebook police trying to make the grumpy old birders happy while encouraging and helping the newbie birdwatchers.

Then came 2020, the year of the COVID and shutdown. Our groups have just exploded with newbies coming in, learning about birds, getting involved, etc. The newbie posts started to really take over our groups big-time. We were more lenient on the rules, offering people an outlet for the love of birds that all of us have on various levels. But it was hard for each group to accomplish its mission when the posts of the beginner birders began to bury the posts on advanced observations and discussions and the alerts for notable sightings.

So, a few of us discussed the situation, and I decided that it made more sense to create a new group with stricter rules to stay on focus. So far, the new group has been going great, with no issues of really any substance. I think we have it set up now in a way that birders of all experience levels can enjoy any or all of the groups. Other states had done what we have done with the new group, including the name.

Here is the new name and the rules as stated on the group. If you aren’t on the new group and wish to be, just click “Join,” answer a few questions so we know you are real, and you will be added.

**PA Birds – Notable Sightings & Discussion**

This group is a place to post information related to sightings of rare/unusual birds in Pennsylvania, and for discussions relating to notable bird sightings. It is NOT a place to share sightings or photographs of commonly occurring species, or a place to seek basic ID help. Please consider our other groups for those purposes such as:

- PA Birders
- PA Birders - Photography
- ID that PA Bird

Less experienced birders are welcome to join and learn, but please refrain from posting content not consistent with the guidelines.

Appropriate posts for this group include:

- Reports of notable (statewide or regionally) bird sightings or unseasonable species occurrences
- Discussion of unusual records
- Advanced ID questions, such as gulls, Empids, etc., particularly for individuals that may be a rare species
- Discussion of large-scale bird movements or weather events that may affect PA
- Requests for info related to specific rarities, access instructions in restricted/private areas, and updates on a continuing rarity (keeping info for a specific bird in a single thread is preferred)
- Priority conservation concern species in PA
- Use your best judgment for other topics that would be of interest to serious birders in the state

Examples of **inappropriate** posts:

- Normal arrival of spring migrants at feeders, such as Ruby-throated Hummingbirds and Rose-breasted Grosbeaks
- Sharing photos of common species
- ID questions, except advanced discussions, as outlined above
- Birds outside of PA (with the potential exception of something extremely rare in locations very close to PA or an irruption that could lead to PA records)

Please make sure all posts adhere to these guidelines. Posts that do not meet the above criteria will be deleted, and members who repeatedly disregard these criteria will be warned or removed from the group. Don’t take it personally; we want this group to serve the needs of
serious birders in Pennsylvania, and there are plenty of other groups to share photos.

All posts should include date and location, including county. If the specific location of a bird cannot be shared (e.g. private property where permission cannot be obtained), it is still acceptable to post the sighting but should include a note explaining why no location was given.

Photographs should always include text providing context about the sighting. Even for continuing rarities, date and location must be provided with posts or photographs. Please consider keeping information for a specific bird on a single thread so that information is consolidated. It is assumed that members of this group have advanced knowledge of birds, so ornithological terminology and banding codes are acceptable.

Generally, be nice. Be grateful to those who share information about sightings, and do not have unrealistic assumptions about property access or timeliness of posting. Accusatory or otherwise derogatory comments directed at group members will not be tolerated.

Young PA Birder Spotlight

Teen birders add a wonderful dimension to our PA birding community by sharing their talents and passion for birds with a wider audience. I first met Ashrith a few years ago when he attended the ABA’s Camp Avocet for teen birders and was struck by his serious dedication, soaking up all he could learn about birds.

I asked Ashrith to share with you a little about himself, and his love for nature photography. I was inspired to see another young person sparked to learn more about birds through books/ID guides; this is something I hear often from the young people with whom I work.

Ashrith is an active member of a Delaware Valley What’s App group, which is where I found him sharing info and seeking to learn more. Please read on to learn more about this astute birder and photographer!

Ashrith Kandula is a 16-year-old avid birdwatcher and nature photographer who resides in Wallingford, Delaware Co., PA. He loves spending as much time as possible outside and usually photographs birds in his yard and in local parks and wildlife refuges. He also spends his summers on national and international trips to see more exotic species.

Ashrith owes his passion for his hobby to a garage sale he attended when he was eight years old. After jumping around and playing with his friends, he came upon a person who was selling two Peterson Wildlife Books. One was about birds; the other, about bees. Each was under one dollar! Awed at the beautiful plumage of the cardinal and buntings on the cover, he immediately chose the bird book. After getting home, he attempted to identify the birds that came to his feeder with his newly acquired field guide. Although it took him some time to understand that the Tricolored Heron is the same bird as the Louisiana Heron – the rest is history!

Fast forward a few years, and Ashrith became more interested in drawing birds, then photographing them. He discovered digiscoping, that is, the art of holding a phone up to a pair of binoculars to capture close shots. To advance his photography hobby, his parents bought him a Canon Powershot SX530 camera. He played around with it and learned the basics for two years. Aspiring to get sharper shots on his vacations, Ashrith made an upgrade to a Canon 7D Mark II and a 100-400 mm II lens, which he currently uses.

Ashrith says that his dream photography set-up would be a water-drip in the middle of a dry forest. The water would attract many different types of birds, including ones that do not usually visit feeders.

He spends most of his time taking photos on early-morning walks around the neighborhood and at the feeders at his neighbor’s house.

(continued on page 19)
Successful Ricketts Glen State Park Bird Walks

Ricketts Glen State Park may be a Pennsylvania Important Bird Area, but it is conveniently located in the middle of nowhere and at least 30 miles from anywhere. So, it may be a favorite place for waterfall trail walkers and kayakers, but not so much for birders. In this way it is like many state parks, game lands, and state forests in rural Pennsylvania. It is chockful of birds and trails but hosts few birders. I can walk dozens of miles on trails and not encounter another person with binoculars.

Despite the dire warnings from other birders on the dangers of birding on public land, I continued conducting bird surveys in the park and on nearby game lands throughout the pandemic. I encountered very few people on the park's wide trails, especially in the early days of the pandemic. It was and is easy to comply with physical distancing on lightly used trails. Walking in the woods also proved to be good therapy.

As soon as DCNR allowed, I began leading public bird walks at the park in June, partnering with the park's Environmental Education Specialist, Rhiannon Summers. We led ten walks for the general public with a total of 78 attending these events. That may not be an earth-shattering number, but the group sizes were very manageable, and we had excellent birding experiences. If we include another walk that we led for a scout troop, that figure would increase to 93 people. This would not be exceptional for a suburban area where there are many birders and a local bird club, but we have a feeling of accomplishment at the Glen. The participants represented a wide variety of people, including several campers who traveled from cities far from the mountain, mostly from the Philadelphia suburbs. The children who attended with their parents were attentive and quietly cooperative for four hours of birding. I did not count, but more women attended than men. As far as I can tell, much of the future of birding apparently lies with women. Members of the Greater Wyoming Valley Audubon, Lycoming County Audubon, and Seven Mountains Audubon have attended, but many attendees seemed unaffiliated with any local bird or nature club.

Our bird walks are much more than bird identification exercises. I have developed many talking points about bird identification, behavior, ecology, and conservation to add to the basics. It has been a wonderful opportunity to engage many people with birding and nature study. Bird walks turn into tree identification workshops, discussions about wintering ground threats to birds, lessons on native plants preferred by birds, and spruce forest management opportunity discussions. I would recommend that other birders seize the opportunity that our state parks offer by volunteering to lead bird walks. It is a rewarding experience.

The state parks are underutilized by birders and bird organizations for instruction and outreach. They would be great places to conduct bird skill workshops and other teaching experiences. Pennsylvania Audubon and the PA Game Commission conducted bird monitoring skill workshops for Important Bird Area monitoring several years ago. These workshops were very successful. There are many good reasons to reboot this approach even in the digital age. For no other reason, Pennsylvania is due to conduct another Breeding Bird Atlas soon. Why not begin training birders on how to get involved with such a project and develop their birding skills? There is nothing quite like personal one-to-one mentoring in the field and the small class setting. I know that some clubs have conducted training sessions, but this approach could be much more widespread and done more in partnerships. I propose that the PSO should be more invested in this kind of approach and do it locally with state parks and local clubs.
Red-breasted Nuthatch Irruptive Migration 2020

This year has had many challenges and is a year to forget overall, but it is going to be the year of the little charmers, the Red-breasted Nuthatches. An irruption of Red-breasted Nuthatches is certainly taking place throughout the East including here in Pennsylvania. It is no longer a rumor or a prediction. It is really happening on a grand scale. These little fellows are not just feeder birds. They are conifer lovers and can be found in a variety of forests, often easily reached along roads and trails. The eBird maps show an extensive migration across the Eastern states with Red-breasted Nuthatches traveling into southern New Jersey and other places in high numbers. Purple Finches also may be staging an irruption with some crossbills possibly in the mix. Red Crossbills have been reported in New York State, so they are not far away.

These little songbirds are looking for food, mostly small seeds and insects. This year there are slim pickings for cone seeds. The hemlocks are mostly bare. The little cones of hemlocks house many seeds and are very easy to open if you have an awl-like bill. Hemlock cones are like "candy" for crossbills and other conifer specialists. But, there are very few to feed the many conifer birds. In the mountains, the red spruce cones also are few this year. Even large red spruces that generally produce seed cones are bare. But, this year eastern white pines are producing an abundant seed crop. Even small nuthatches can forage on these big, sappy cones. White pine is also a food source for Red Crossbills (mostly Type 1 birds), chickadees, goldfinches, and others. I have seen many Red-breasted Nuthatches foraging on white pines, especially the large trees with limbs drooping from the weight of hundreds of seed cones. They often will hover-glean the seeds out of the open cones rather than land on the sappy cone, avoiding getting the sticky sap on their little feet. Red-breasted Nuthatches are omnivorous, not seed-specialists, so they also forage on a wide variety of insects on tree bark and will do some flycatching while they are invading the forests around here.

In my experiences, Red-breasted Nuthatches can be fairly common breeders in mature red spruce and eastern hemlock forests one year and then absent or rare in others.

I have seen this phenomenon in boreal conifers and lower elevation evergreen conifer patches including old Christmas tree farms and ornamental or erosion-control plantings. The same may be true with pines. I wonder if Red-breasted Nuthatches also will visit other conifers during this irruption event that may be overlooked by birders. In some places, jack pine, Virginia (scrub) pine, red pine, pitch pine, and scots pine could offer seed cones that nuthatches and other birds might forage. I remember one incident in the early 1980s when a big cone crop of Virginia pines occurred in a Luzerne County forest that Red-breasted Nuthatches had visited in the fall and continued to feed on through the winter. The nuthatches stayed the following spring and nested there with an adequate cone crop to provide them with food. This kind of follow-up nesting might occur in a variety of locations, including pine barrens and conifer evergreen plantings far from other nesting areas. For that reason, it would be interesting for birders to explore some scrub barrens and pine groves this fall, winter, and early spring as well as the "big pine stands." They could discover high densities of nuthatches and perhaps witness breeding activity in new places. And, who knows if the Purple Finches and crossbills also will follow.

2020 Boreal Bird Report

Since the first PBBA, I have been studying the rarest boreal forest birds in the state and reporting on the results. Thanks to the cooperation of the PA Game Commission, I have continued studying Yellow-bellied Flycatchers and Blackpoll Warblers in SGL 57 spruce swamps. Both species are PA-Endangered. In the last few years, I have extended studies to Swainson’s Thrush in the Northeast. All of the results of these studies are shared with the appropriate agencies and partners. In 2020, I found Yellow-bellied Flycatchers only in Coalbed Swamp. This year, I found only two single, unattached males on territory. They sang often and regularly into mid-morning but did not attract a female. I failed to find them in three other conifer forested wetlands where I have found them in previous years. The gradual decline of the females makes me suspect that wintering grounds may be a limiting factor, but this is only a theory. The breeding ground habitat seems secure, but there has also been a pattern of drier, hotter summers. The Yellow-bellied Flycatcher seems on
This male Blackpoll Warbler, singing in Tamarack Swamp, was photographed by Doug Gross.

Doug found this Swainson’s Thrush nest in Ricketts Glen State Park.

The brink of extirpation in the state despite the security of recent breeding locations.

Breeding populations of Blackpoll Warblers have been found in a few boreal wetlands in Wyoming and Luzerne Counties. In 2019, I found Blackpoll Warblers in both Coalbed and Tamarack Swamps, but this year I found them singing only in Tamarack Swamp. At least three singing males were there this year, and I confirmed nesting by finding a male carrying food to young in late June. Between Coalbed and Tamarack Swamps, I found more than ten territories (sometimes more than 20) for several years, but the population has shrunk to a much smaller size. I will expand my searches for both species in 2021. I believe that breeding habitat exists in other northern counties, given the characteristics of the wetlands and spruce forests where they have been found.

Swainson’s Thrush is a Candidate-Rare species in Pennsylvania, more widespread and common than the previous two species discussed but one of the rarest breeding birds in the state. My studies complement work conducted by David Yeany of the Western Pennsylvania Conservancy in the northwestern counties. I have found clusters of Swainson’s Thrushes at a few locations on North Mountain since the 1980s. Searches in 2020 revealed two small clusters of Swainson’s Thrushes in Ricketts Glen State Park, both in hemlock woods near water. They were formerly more widespread. In Ricketts Glen I found an active nest and young birds in other territories. Unfortunately the nest failed, probably due to predation. This year I revisited a remote spruce forest in SGL 57 in the Somer Brook headwaters. I discovered this Swainson’s Thrush population in 2002. This year I found at least six active territories with at least three of these producing young. This may be the largest Swainson’s Thrush cluster in the northeastern counties. I will monitor this location more closely in 2021 and check a few other locations. Other clusters that I have found in Loyalsock State Forest and SGL 13 seem to have winked out, so the Swainson’s Thrush population is not as large as during the 2nd PA Breeding Bird Atlas. This bears watching because these boreal species may be sensitive indicators of forest health and the effects of global climate change.

My surveys failed to find any Northern Goshawks where I have found them previously. I am very concerned about the status of this species which has disappeared from former haunts.

Good birding!

Doug Gross
Pennsylvania Boreal Bird Project
Ricketts Glen State Park Bird Project
Dagross144@verizon.net

---

Plan Now to Attend Our Next PSO Annual Meeting

September 17-19, 2021

Lancaster, PA

Double Tree Resort at Willow Valley
Are Aerial Insectivores Being “Bugged Out”?
By Clay Corbin and Victoria Roper

Since 1970, North America has seen a significant decline in avifauna, including more than 528 different bird species spanning nine biomes (e.g. Coasts, Arid Lands, Eastern Forest, Arctic Tundra, Western Forest, Boreal Forest, and Grassland). One way to understand these dynamics is to concentrate on functional feeding groups such as granivores or aerial insectivores. The latter group, comprised of more than thirty species of swifts, nightjars, flycatchers, and swallows, is declining at a faster rate than other groups.

One species of swallow, the Tree Swallow, has experienced a 49% decline since 1966 throughout North America. Interestingly, Pennsylvania lies along the border between increasing and decreasing occupancy trends, and research on Tree Swallow occupancy rates might provide management insights for other aerial insectivores.

The main hypotheses explaining swallow declines are changes in aerial insect phenology, abundance, and availability. In other words, aerial insectivores are being “bugged out.” To get at this question, researchers from Bloomsburg University started several Tree Swallow nest box projects in Columbia and Montour counties in winter 2019. These projects utilize sites at artificial or natural wetlands with about 20 nest boxes at each site. Some of the projects include banding birds to track site fidelity and year-to-year occupancy.

Victoria Roper, a graduate student at Bloomsburg University and recipient of a PSO Student Research Grant, took on a project for a master’s degree and performed field studies to examine "the role of artificial and natural wetlands in supporting aerial insectivores, specifically Tree Swallows, the growth rates of chicks in relation to prey abundance, isotopic signatures of chicks and prey," and evaluation of whether the foraging behavior varied across the habitats. Dr. Clay Corbin, a Professor of Biology at Bloomsburg University, led the banding activities for one of the projects. What he found interesting was, “In a single season, Ms. Roper demonstrated a shift from aquatic to terrestrial food sources for this population of Tree Swallows, and Diptera seem to be driving that shift.”

The study demonstrates that Tree Swallows attempting to time reproductive events to pulses of aquatic emergent insects are more susceptible to trophic mismatches compared to those trying to time reproduction to peak prey availability. These results can serve as a baseline for future trophic mismatch studies on avian reproduction, particularly aerial insectivores, with terrestrial and aquatic feeding options. Furthermore, Roper found that Diptera, with aquatic and terrestrial emerging species, are the most abundant food item in the habitat of these swallows. They are emerging earlier in the swallow breeding season compared to 2006 and 2008. This has major implications for swallow population dynamics.

Ms. Roper has presented preliminary findings at the American Ornithological Society Conference in Anchorage, Alaska, in 2019. She has now completed requirements for her Master's Degree at Bloomsburg with a thesis, “Tree Swallow Breeding Biology and the Phenology of Aquatic Emergent Prey in Artificial and Natural Wetlands.” As part of the requirement for receiving the PSO Student Research Grant, she will be submitting an article to the Pennsylvania Birds journal with more details of her research.

Currently, she is a Ph.D. student at Oklahoma State University in the Department of Integrative Biology with Dr. Jennifer Grindstaff who works on Zebra Finches and Eastern Bluebirds. Roper will be continuing her research on aerial insectivores and studying Eastern Bluebirds.

Dr. Clay Corbin
Professor of Biology
Bloomsburg University

Victoria Roper, PhD Candidate
Dept of Integrative Biology
Oklahoma State University
Robert L. Curry and his students at Villanova pioneered studies on the hybridization of Carolina and Black-capped Chickadees. Mike Fialkovich photographed this Carolina Chickadee in Allegheny County.

This Black-capped Chickadee was photographed in Indiana County by Roger Higbee.

Did you know that birds in general have more feathers in winter than in summer? A Carolina Chickadee collected in winter sported 1,704 feathers while another collected in summer had only 1,104.
I was invited by the Endangered Species Coalition (ESC) in early June to participate in a webinar called, “Connecting Wildlife, Connecting Communities” on June 11, 2020. ESC was interested in hearing how conservation organizations in Pennsylvania are working to protect wildlife corridors in our state.

Of course, I said, “Yes,” as participation allowed me to promote three non-profits that I’m involved with that are concerned about wildlife and habitat protection: the Pennsylvania Society for Ornithology (PSO), Juniata Valley Audubon Society, and Save Our Allegheny Ridges.

In the webinar, I shared three examples of wildlife corridors that are under threat from industrial development: Dunning Mountain, Wild Creek Watershed, and Broad Mountain.

**Dunning Mountain**: The Dunning Mountain Forest Natural Heritage Area on Dunning/Evitt’s Mountain in Bedford County has been recognized by the Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) as a Natural Heritage Area of GLOBAL SIGNIFICANCE. This area is a part of a long mountain range in the ridge and valley province in central PA – essentially part of a wildlife corridor. This intact forest is at risk because of a wind project proposed for the top of the mountain right through the heart of this natural area.

**Wild Creek Watershed** in Carbon County is a GLOBALLY RARE HABITAT and the watershed is classified as EXCEPTIONAL VALUE. This is the highest watershed rating designated by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection. Wild Creek Watershed is at risk because both the East Penn Pipeline and the Penn Forest Wind Project will fragment this extensive forest – which also supplies water to thousands of people in the City of Bethlehem. Ironically, the City of Bethlehem opposes the pipeline, but leased their forested land for the wind project.

**Broad Mountain** in Packer Township, Carbon County is the site for a proposed wind turbine project. Broad Mountain contains 5 Exceptional Value Watersheds and 3 High Quality Coldwater Watersheds and all would be impacted by the proposed wind project.

As part of the webinar I was asked, “What steps can individuals take to support wildlife corridors?” I offered three suggestions:

1. Read Dr. Doug Tallamy’s two books: *Bringing Nature Home* and *Nature’s Best Hope*. *Nature’s Best Hope* promotes the concept that backyards should be connected natural habitats which sustain native plants, insects, and birds.

2. Find out about wildlife (and plant) corridors in your area. Very simply, these are natural areas that connect ecosystems. Think big: forest, river, stream, riparian buffer, but also think small: wildlife crossing under or over a highway, fish ladders, even a culvert designed for aquatic organism passage. Consider your backyard and your neighbors’ yards. Are there trees and native shrubs that help them connect with each other? In *Nature’s Best Hope*, Dr. Tallamy expounds on the importance of creating a “home-grown natural park” that would facilitate wildlife movements.

You’ve probably visited some core areas that provide connections for wildlife and plants: Pennsylvania Wilds, Laurel Highlands, South Mountain, Schuylkill Highlands, to name a few.

3. Let your state legislators know that you are in favor of House Resolution 670. Ask them to cosponsor it. House Resolution 670 is a pretty simple ask:

“A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct a study and issue a report on the feasibility of establishing conservation corridors in this Commonwealth.”

HR 670 has bipartisan support, but it’s still in the Tourism and Recreational Development Committee. It was introduced by Pennsylvania Representative Mary Jo Daley and posted on January 14, 2020. Please take a few minutes to call or email your state rep. Tell them you support HR 670 and ask them to cosponsor it.
Believe me, there is a lot of sweat equity and hardcore science involved as our biologists work hard to recognize connections that are at increased risk due to climate change. I reached out to David Yeany II, Avian Ecologist for the Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program at the Western Pennsylvania Conservancy. David is a PSO member and is active on a number of committees, including the Conservation Committee.

David was part of a PNHP team that developed “Priorities for Climate Change Connectivity in Pennsylvania.” It’s not just a report to be printed and assigned to a dusty shelf in a planner’s office. This is a dataset that should be used in conservation planning as a spatial tool to target important wildlife corridors.

The visual reference is the Map of “Cores and Connectors,” which shows colored areas prioritized for climate change connectivity. You can see the map in color on page 19 in the PNHP 2019 Annual Report: http://www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us/docs/PNHP-2019-AnnualReport.pdf, and a feature article was written about the project in the PNHP Wild Heritage News Summer 2020 newsletter: http://www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us/docs/2020%20Q2%20PNHP%20newsletter.pdf.

Even in black and white, you can tell that the areas in darker gray have higher priority for conservation in relation to climate change.

The model developed by PNHP assigned different weights to factors that contributed to the project. The highest weight (50%) was given to Regional Flow, based on the Nature’s Network flow analysis of predicted species’ movement patterns. Resilience counted for 20% of the model, which showed how different parts of the landscape were capable of adapting to climate change. Equal priority was given to three factors that each contributed to 10% of the model: Landscape Condition, Geophysical Settings, and Natural Heritage Areas.

Additionally, the model includes information on ecological systems and representative species that each core or connector is predicted to be most important for supporting.

This data should be useful at different levels:

1. **Local conservation decisions** in a municipality can be prioritized based on regional climate
change connectivity. For example, the model identifies natural areas that are vulnerable to disturbances.

2. **Land protection** can be coordinated to maximize positive regional impact to wildlife movement as climate conditions change. Stewardship and conservation efforts on private lands can be promoted, as well.

3. **Long term strategic planning**: The dataset can be used by planners to develop sustainable land use and greenway plans to achieve regional conservation goals.

Scientists have already collected evidence that some wildlife and plant species are impacted by climate change. The more core habitat and connectors that are present in the landscape, the greater chance that species will “find” the habitat they need for survival. It’s no surprise that Pennsylvania’s “songbird nursery” in the northern tier also contains the highest number of cores and connectors. Let’s ask our county planners and other professionals to use this important data for future conservation planning.

I’m also happy to report that PSO, Juniata Valley Audubon Society, and Save Our Allegheny Ridges are now member organizations of the Endangered Species Coalition. We will support their programs to protect endangered species and their habitats.


Laura Jackson, Conservation Chair
Bedford County
jacksonlaura73@gmail.com

---

**Pennsylvania 2019 Bird Lists Report**
Compiled by Andy Keister

At the conclusion of 2019, the number of birders who have reported PA Life Lists of 300 species or greater remains at 93. The number of birders with PA Life Lists of 350 species or greater also remains unchanged at 27. As last reported in 2018, Devich Farbotnik has recorded 396. Other birders have eBird lists of more than 400 species but have not reported their numbers to me. The total number of birders with unassisted Life Lists of 300 species or greater increased to 12. For 2019, the year’s high Annual List was reported by Zach Millen with 282 species followed by Andy Keister with 258 species. Similar to the life lists, several individuals recorded more species through eBird in 2019 but did not report those numbers to me for inclusion within this report.

County lifelisters who have recorded the most species in their respective counties and have reported new species for 2019 include Geoff Malosh who has recorded 283 species for Allegheny County, David Hawk who has recorded 281 species in Carbon County, Andy Keister who has recorded 218 species in Columbia County, Al Guarente who has recorded 218 species in Delaware County, David Kyler who has recorded 277 species in Huntingdon County, Fritz Brock who has recorded 281 species in Lehigh County, Bobby Brown who has recorded 264 species in Lycoming County, Greg Grove who has recorded 215 species in Mifflin County, Andy Keister who has recorded 238 species in Montour County, Arlene Koch who reached the milestone of 300 species in Northampton County, Andy Keister who recorded 243 species in Northumberland County, and Dick Cleary who recorded 261 species in York County.

In annual county birding, Ryan Johnson set the new high record for Wayne County at 125 species. Thanks to Chad Kauffman’s efforts to bird Snyder County, the first reports of greater than 100 species in a year included Andy Keister with 186 species, Waylon Martin with 178 species, and Chad Kauffman with 176 species. Other birders had similar or higher numbers in Snyder County in 2019 but did not report their lists for this report.

A total of 34 individuals submitted list information or Bird of the Year votes for 2019. They include: David Kyler, Trudy Kyler, Bruce Carl, Jeffrey Hall, Greg Grove, Bobby Brown, Dave DeReamus, Zach Millen, Al Guarente, Wayne Laubscher, Deb Grove, Michael David, Chuck Berthoud, Ryan Tomazin, Geoff Malosh, John Flannigan, Roy Ickes, Dean Newhouse, Chad Kauffman, John Snarey, Fritz Brock, Ronald Leberman, Richard
Nugent, Kurt Schwarz, Andy Keister, David Hauk, Mark Vass, Arlene Koch, Carol Hildebrand, Waylon Martin, Carl Garner, Thomas Reeves, Dick Cleary, and Ryan Johnson. This is a substantial decrease from last year and I hope to put more effort into soliciting reports from birders in 2020.

The Bird of the Year 2019

A total of 13 birders voted for 17 different species as Bird of the Year for 2019. A total of three points were awarded for a first place vote, two points were awarded for a second place vote and one point was awarded for a third place vote. Competition was tight this year and Snail Kite (11 points) edged out Varied Thrush (10 points) and Black-bellied Whistling Duck (8 points) as Bird of the Year. Voting is always impacted by several factors. Snail Kite seemed the obvious choice. however, the bird was a one-day wonder, seen by few people, and wasn’t as heavily publicized as some of the other rare birds this year. The remaining votes included Green-tailed Towhee (6 points), Western Meadowlark (5 points), Brown Booby (4 points), Pacific Loon (4 points), Anna’s Hummingbird (4 points), Hudsonian Godwit (3 points), Loggerhead Shrike (3 points), Long-eared Owl (3 points), Yellow-crowned Night Heron (3 points), Evening Grosbeak (2 points), Common Raven (2 points), Ash-throated Flycatcher (1 point), Prothonotary Warbler (1 point) and Long-tailed Duck (1 point).

Note: Column 1 is each birder’s rank; Column 2 is the number of species reported in PA; Column 3 is the year in which the number of species noted was reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>PA Life Lists</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>PA Life Lists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Devich Farbotnik 2018</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Richard Timm 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Barbara Haas 2018</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Jerry McWilliams 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Franklin Haas 2018</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>David Kyler 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dave DeReamus 2019</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Jan Witmer 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peter Robinson 2018</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Richard Colyer 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eric Witmer 2018</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Andy Keister 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tom Garner 2018</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>John Miller 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Al Guarente 2019</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Mike Epler 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ken Lebo 2018</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Dick Cleary 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ronald Leberman 2018</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>William Stocku 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Geoff Malosh 2019</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Mark Vass 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jason Horn 2002</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Wayne Laubscher 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Arlene Koch 2019</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Matt Wlasniewski 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Rick Wiltraut 2006</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chuck Berthoud 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Randy C. Miller 2016</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Chad Kauffman 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Jonathan Heller 2018</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>William Murphy 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nick Pulcinella 2013</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Billy Weber 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Robert Schutsky 2011</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Greg Grove 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Harold Lebo 2012</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Paul Schwalbe 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bruce Carl 2019</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Ian Gardner 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Bernard Morris 2011</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Margaret Higbee 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Chuck Chalfant 2016</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Glenna Schwalbe 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Michael Schall 2018</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Steve Santner 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Michael David 2019</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Trudy Kyler 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Steve Farbotnik 2006</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Russ States 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>John Fedak 2006</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Harry Franzen 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mike Fialkovich 2018</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Aden Troyer 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Fritz Brock 2019</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Timothy Becker 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Jerry Book 2000</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Tom Clauser 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Joyce Hoffmann 2004</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Bill Oyler 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Shannon Thompson 2015</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Deborah Grove 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bill Etter 2017</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>John Ginaven 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>Phillips Street</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>Zachary Millen</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>Matthew Spence</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>Alex Lamoreaux</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>Evelyn Kopf</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>Drew Weber</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>Walter Shaffer</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>Harold Morrin</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>David McNaughton</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>Ted Nichols II</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>John Salvetti</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>Skip Conant</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>Dana Shaffer</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>Don Henise</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>Richard Rehrig</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>Carl Garner</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>Robyn Henise</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>Mike Weible</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>Roger Highbe</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>Ernest Schiefer</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>David Yeany II</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>Gene Wilhelm</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>David Hauk</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>Sam Stull</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>Edward Pederson</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>Rick Koval</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>Mark McConaughy</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Larry Lewis</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Dave Rieger</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>Keith Richards</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>George Malosh</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>Allen Schweinsberg</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>Richard Nugent</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>Allan Keith</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>Jim Dunn</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>Dan Richards</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>Ed Kwater</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>Bill Keim</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>Joseph Verica</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>Frank Windfelder</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>J. Kenneth Gabler</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>James Baxter</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>Terence Schiefer</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>William Reid</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>Gary Edwards</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>John Peplinski</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>Thomas Reeves</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>David Abbott</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>Chuck Tague</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>Kevin Crilley</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>Ross Gallardy</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>Dustin Welch</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>Brian Byrnes</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Special thanks to Andy Keister for tabulating all of the data and producing it in usable form for the newsletter and the website. More extensive lists are available on the PSO website at (http://www.pabirds.org/birdlists/BirdLists2019.pdf).

Now is also the time to start compiling your lists for 2020; plan to submit your totals to Andy Keister (akeister110@gmail.com) by January 15, 2021.

Young PA Birder Spotlight

(continued from page 8)

Although Ashrith claims that he likes all birds when asked what his favorite bird is, he particularly likes the King-of-Saxony Bird-of-Paradise. The long white plumes and swinging dance of this species is astounding, he asserts.

While Ashrith is passionate about birds, he would like to keep photography as a hobby. After taking a science major in college and finding a job, he wants to travel widely and further inspire other birders.

– Holly Merker
Chester County
PSO Education Committee Chairperson
Tony Bruno photographed this gorgeous Prairie Warbler in Indiana County.

**Answers to Bird Quiz**

1. Blackpoll
2. Prairie
3. Blue-winged (*cyanoptera*), Black-throated Blue (*caerulescens*), Cerulean (*cerulea*)
4. Prothonotary
5. Nobody knows
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